Case 4:10-cv-01187-MM-DB Document 23, Filed 11/19/10 Page 1 of 8 United States District Court Middle District of Ranssilvania

Jeffiet E. Simpson	
PlaintiF	
٧.	Case No 4:10-CV-1187
The United States of	
America, B.A. Bledsoe	
warden, and Harles	Complant and Demand
Lassin Director,	1 for Jury Trial
n sna ellunbivibri	FILED WILLIAMSPORT, PA
their Official Calacities	
describents	NOV 1 9 2010
	MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK
	I. Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This court has Jurisdiction Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1331, The Plantiff Seek's Judicial Serview of administrative action under the administrative Procedures act 5 U.S.C. Sections 701 cd SCI, and any relief Provided therein. The Plantiff Seek's declaratory relief Under 28 U.S.C. Section 2201 and 2202. The Plantiff's claims for injunctive celief are butthorized by 28 U.S.C. 2283 and 2284. This action is authorized against the United States of america and Bureau of Prison's official's under 5 U.S.C. \$6 702-03, and Further authorizes this court to review administrative action of the Federal Burea of Prisons and its official's under 5 U.S.C. \$706. This court is authorized Jurisdiction over the Plantiff's civil rights claims Pursuant to Bivens V. Six Unknown Federal Warratics Agents, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S.Ct. 1999 (1971).

2. The middle District of Pennsylvania is the Proter Venue under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391, because it is the Place where events giving rise to this complaint occurred.

Case 4:10-cv-01187-MM-DB Document 23 Filed 11/19/10 Page 2 of 8

I. Plaintiff

3.	Plaintiff, Jeffrey E. Simpson	was at all times mentioned herein, a federal Prisoner Confined at
	the united States Penitentiars	in Lewisburg Pennsylvania.

III. Defendants

- 4. Defendant, United States of america is legally responsible for the overall operation, regulation, and oversight, of all federal agencies and their subdivisions including the Federal Bureau of Prisons (FBOP).
- 5. Defendant, Hark's Lappin is the Director of the FBOP and is Legall's responsible for the overall operation, regulation, and oversight of all Penitentiaries within the FBOP including the United States Penitentiary Lewisburg.
- 6. Defendant, B. A. Biedsoe is the worden at united States Penitentiary Lewisburg, (USP-Lewisburg) and is legally responsible For the overall operation, regulation, and oversight at that Penitentials.

W. Facts

- 7. The Plantiff and Similarly Situated Prisoners are mostly double celled in the Special Management units at USP-Lewisburg because B.A. Bledsoe and Harky Lappin require that two Prisoners are contined to one cell.
- 8. The cells in the special manusoment units are equipped with a manual locking tray slot, located about waist hiesht on the cell doors. This tray slot is severally called a "wickett" is ordinarily used by correctional officers to Place hand restraints on the Prisoners confined within the cell From a secure Position outside of the cell.

- 4. Harles Lappin and B.A. Bledsop mandate, authorize, and have knowledge of, the Practice of their subordinate corrections officers requiring the Plantiff and similarly situated Prisoners confined in double celled living arrangements to first submit to hand restraints, applied by correctional officers via the wicket in the cell door. This Practice is required that both cell occurants must be secured in hand restraints from to corrections officers officers of the cell door for any reason.
- Hark's Lasger and B. A. Bledsox are aware of the Fact that the Plantiff and similarly situated Prisoners awar back us to the wickett and Place their hands owtside of the cell and behind their back through the wickett in order that correctional officers will then apply hand restraints chronologically to each cell occupant.
- II. Hark's Lapper and B. A. Bledsoc are full's aware that their authorization of the Practices
 resording hand restraints described in Paragraths 7-10 invariably leaves one cell occupant
 unrestrained for a window of time, subsequent to his cellmates submission to hand
 restraints via the "wickett," and that correctional officers outside of the cell are not
 authorized to open the cell door even in the event that the unrestrained cellmate may
 violently attack his restrained cellmate Prior to his own submission to hand restraints; or
 intervention by an enversency response team.
- 12. Harlis Lappin and B. A. Bledsor are Full's aware that their authorization of Practices regarded restraints authorization of Practices regarded in numerous violent assaults committed by the unrestrained Prisoner whom his handcrafted cellmate while corrections afficers outside of the cell are not authorized to over the cell about to intervent unless an emergency restrict team is assembled, invariably delaying intervention or medical care in time intevals exceeding 5 minutes, or the unrestrained Prisoner complys to verbal commands and submitts to hand restraints.

	authored in Parasrath 12 house resulted in serious bodily indust and homocides.
١4.	The Plaintiff and similarly situated Prisoners are required to submit to hard restraints chronol
	logically even in the event that they were fighting in the Cell or seemed to be on the Verge of Violence.
\5.	Harky Lupper and B. A. Bledsor are aware that attacks outlined in Paragraths 12-14 are inordinately
erroren errore	numerous, widspread, and Pervasive.
۷.	Howles Lappa and B.A. Bled soe have failed to take and Meaning Full action to Allieviate dungers
	Posed to Prisoner sufety, repetive serious injury, and death as a direct result of their authorization
. N	of application of restraints to double celled Prisoners as outlined in Paragraphs 7-15.
<u>vi.</u>	Defendant, B. A. Bledsoe addressed a BP-9 administrative remedy request filed by the Plantiff
and the second of	Prior to this complant's Filing, requesting relief from the restrant Practices outlined in Paragraphs
	7- K above and citize that worden Bledsox has discressorded a real danger to the Plantiff's
TO LOCATE BY PARK WAR	Finduse health and safety. The Planshif Fully Exhausted All levels of appeal regardent this BP-9.
18.	UBN information and Belief, the Practice on the application of restraints outlined in Paragraphs
	7-16 above are observed in all Special Management units at Penitentiary throughout the
	FBOP.
	I, Lesal Claims
	Plaintiff realleses and incorperates by reference Paragraphs 1-18 above an further states
• • •	that:

- 20. The application of restraints in nonsecure, nonisolated areas violate both federal law, centulations, and Federal Bureau of Prisons Policy, i.e., 18 USC & 4042, and 28 C.F.R. & 552 et. Seq.
- 21. APPlication of restraints on the Prisoner in nonsecure, nonisolated areas violates the Plaintiff's further arendment right to liberty from unreasonable arbitrary restraint, and further violates liberty interests to Safety generated from Federal Statute and regulations.
- 22. The application of restraints on the Plaintiff in nonsecure, nonisolated areas sives rise to an unreasonable risk to the Plaintiffs Future health and Safetz, and therefore violate eight amendments ban on cruel and unusual Punshment.
- 23. The Plantathas no Plan, adequate or complete remedy at Law to redress the wrongs described herein. There is a great risk of being induced due to the conduct of the defendants unless this court grants declaratory and indunctive relief sought by the Plant of

VI. Rayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, Plantiff respectfully requests this court enter Judgement granting:

- 29. a decleration that the acts or ommissions described herein violeted Federal resolutions and the Plandiff's rights under the united States Constitution and Laws of the united States.
- 25. A Preliminar's interestion and Permanent interestion ordering named defendants to discontinue the authorization and Practice of applying hand restraints in nonisolated, nonsecure areas.
- 26 Punitive damages in the amount of Five thousand dollars each against both B.A.
 Bledson and Hark's Lattin.

27. Nominal damases	
28. Plantiff's costs in suit, and ans	turther relief this court Finds to be caustable and
Declar	ation
I, Lettre's E. Simpson certify und is true and correct exceptions some me as to those I believe them to be true	wer Penulty of Perjury that the Fore gony whers Alleged on information and belief, and we.
Lated November 17, 2010	Sisaed & &
	JEFFIEL E. SIMPSON
	04394-036 USP - Lewisburg
	LO BOX 1000
	Lewisburg Pa 17837

United States District Court Middle District of Pernsylvania

Leffrer E. SIMPSON	No 4:CV-10-1187
ν.	(.Z sum)
United States of	
America, et al.	
defendants	

Certificate of Service

I, Jeffrey E. Simpson hereby verify that I Placed a COPY of The Company , in the institutional mail in a First Class United States Postal Service Postage Pre-Paid Envelope here at the United States Penitentiary Lewisbury on 17 day of november, 2010.

I make this declaration under Penalty of Persury. This document cs are addressed to:

United States Attorness Office % Peter J. Smith Swite 31L 240 W. Third Street WilliamsPort Pa. 17701-6465

Dated november 17, 2010

Signed Con & In

LEFFREY E_SIMPSON

D4394-036

USP-LEWISDUCG

P.O. Box 1000

Lewisdows Pa. 17837

	Leffrey E. SIMPSON
	04394-036
	PLSP-Lewisburg
The second control is a second to the second	P.O. Box 1000
	Lewishurz Pa. 17837
Clerk of Court	
% Mrs D'Andrea	
U.S. District Court	
Swite 218	date November 17, 2010
240 W. Thurd St.	
Williams Port Pa 17701	
	RE: 4:10-CV-1187
Dear Mrs D'Andrea	4
reminded that an anerted c	I On November 8, 2010 stated that the Plaintiff is DMPlant Should be a new Pleading which stands by itself without reference to the compant already filed"
Please disregard Previo	usly filed complants and file this enclosed complant
	a your most valuable time
	W DEAT INDIA RELIGIBLE I ME
	Smogely
	422
CC: 155 File Peter J. Smith	